













Date: Aug 05, 2019

Sample Description:

Several Pairs Of Submitted Gloves, Marked As (A) 13G White PU Palm Gloves, (B) 13G Black PU Palm Gloves,

(C) 13G Grey PU Palm Gloves.

Eco Test Component:

(1) White PU Cover Palm Fabric Of Sample (A)

(2) White Polyester Back Fabric Of Sample (A)

(3) White Polyester With Elastic Cuff Fabric Of Sample (A)

(4) White Binding Of Sample (A/B/C)

(5) Black PU Cover Palm Fabric Of Sample (B)

(6) Black Polyester Back Fabric Of Sample (B)

(7) Black Polyester With Elastic Cuff Fabric Of Sample (B)

(8) Grey PU Cover Palm Fabric Of Sample (C)

(9) Grey Polyester Back Fabric Of Sample (C)

(10) Grey Polyester With Elastic Cuff Fabric Of Sample (C)

Standard : BS EN 420: 2003+A1: 2009

BS EN 388:2016+A1:2018

Colour : White/Black/Grey

Size Range : 6-12#

Palm Material : Polyurethane Back Material : Polyester

Cuff Material : Polyester With Elastic

Cuff Binding Material: Polyester

Lining Material : -Order No. : -

Style No. : SN805(SN805BK/SN805GY)

Applicant's Provided Care Instruction/Label: Date Received/Date Test Started: Jul 30, 2019

Parnicle

Authorized By:

For Intertek Testing Services

(Tianjin) Ltd.

Patrick Gong General Manager









Tests Conducted:

1. pH Value

As Per BS EN ISO 3071:2006 For Textile, KCl Solution Was Used For Extraction, pH Value Was Measured By pH Meter.

<u>Tested Component</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Requirement</u>
(1)	6. 5	*
(2)	6.6	*
(3)	6.7	*
(4)	6.6	*
(5)	6. 5	*
(6)	6. 5	*
(7)	6.6	*
(8)	6.6	*
(9)	6.6	*
(10)	6.7	*

Temperature Of The Extracting Solution: 23.0°C pH Of The Extracting Solution:5.7

Remark: * = The pH Value Shall Be Greater Than 3.5 And Less Than 9.5. And For Method EN ISO 4045:2008
The Difference Figure Do Not Need To Test.

Conclusion:

<u>Tested Components</u> <u>Test Item/Standard</u> <u>Result</u> (1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8)(9) BS EN 420:2003+A1:2009 For pH Value Pass 8(10)

2. Design And Construction (BS EN 420: 2003+A1: 2009, 4.1):

Sample (A) Requirement Pass/Fail

Comply With Requirement * Pass

Remark: * = The Protective Glove Shall Be Designed And Manufactured So That In The

Foreseeable Conditions Of Use For Which It Is Intended, The User Can Perform The Hazard Related Activity Normally Whilst Enjoying Appropriate Protection At The Highest Possible Level. If Required, The Glove Shall Be Designed To Minimize The Time Needed For Putting On And Taking Off.

When The Glove Construction Includes Seams, The Material And Strength Of The Seams Shall Be Such That The Overall Performance Of The Glove Is Not Significantly

Decreased.







Tests Conducted:

3. Sizing (BS EN 420: 2003+A1: 2009, 6.1):

Sample (A)		<u>Requirement</u>	Pass/Fail
Size	6#	•	
Glove Length:	220 mm	*	Pass
Corresponding Size (By Extrapolation):	6(#)		
Size	7#		
Glove Length:	230 mm	*	Pass
Corresponding Size (By Extrapolation):	7(#)		
Size	8#		
Glove Length:	241 mm	*	Pass
Corresponding Size (By Extrapolation):	8(#)		
Size	9#		
Glove Length:	250 mm	*	Pass
Corresponding Size (By Extrapolation):	9(#)		
Size	10#		
Glove Length:	253 mm	*	Fail
Corresponding Size (By Extrapolation):	9(#)		
Size	11#		
Glove Length:	270 mm	*	Pass
Corresponding Size (By Extrapolation):	11(#)		
Size	12#		
Glove Length:	270 mm	*	-
Corresponding Size (By Extrapolation):	11(#)		

Remark:

= The Size Is Derived By Extrapolation Of The Data In Below Table In Accordance With BS EN 420:2003, 5.1. *= Sizes Of Gloves

Glove Size	Fit	
6	Hands Size 6	Min. 220 mm
7	Hands Size 7	Min. 230 mm
8	Hands Size 8	Min. 240 mm
9	Hands Size 9	Min. 250 mm
10	Hands Size 10	Min. 260 mm
11	Hands Size 11	Min. 270 mm







Tests Conducted:

4. Finger Dexterity Test (BS EN 420:2003+A1: 2009, 6.2):

Sample (A) The Smallest Diameter Of Pin Picked Up

Specimen 1(Left Hand): 5 mm
Specimen 2(Right Hand): 5 mm
Specimen 3(Left Hand): 5 mm
Specimen 4(Right Hand): 5 mm
Performance Level: 5(*)

Remark: * = The Classification Is Determined By The Smallest Diameter Of Pin Picked Up Of The Four

Test Specimens.

Remark:

Performance Level	The Smallest Diameter Of Pin Shall Be Picked Up
Level 1	11 mm
Level 2	9.5 mm
Level 3	8 mm
Level 4	6.5 mm
Level 5	5 mm







Tests Conducted:

5. Abrasion Resistance (BS EN 388: 2016, 6.1, 9 kPa): Sample (A)

Adhesion Contact Time Of Test Specimen With The	At Least 5 Min
Double-Sided Adhesive Tape Under A Weight Of A	
Approximatley 10 Kg	
Surface Treatment Of Test Specimen In Order To	No Surface Treatment
Improve Adhesion	
Abradant	The Klingspor PL 31 B-Grit 180 Grain Aluminium
	Oxide
Double-Sided Adhesive Tape	3M [™] Double-Sided Adhesive Tape

	Observation	Specimen 1	Specimen 2	Specimen 3	Specimen 4
	After 100 Cycles:	0	0	0	0
After 500 Cycles:		0	0	0	0
After 2 000 Cycles:		0	0	0	0
	After 8 000 Cycles:	Χ	X	X	X
Performa	nce Leve l :		3		-

Remark:

The Minimum Requirements For Each Level:

Level 1: 100 Cycles Level 2: 500 Cycles Level 3: 2 000 Cycles Level 4: 8 000 Cycles

Level 5: -

O = No Breakthrough X = Breakthrough







Tests Conducted:

6. Blade Cut Resistance (BS EN 388:2016, 6.2):

Sample (A)	Specimen 1 (Index)	Specimen 2 (Index)
	I ₁ :1.6	I ₆ :1.5
	I ₂ :1.6	I ₇ :1.5
	I ₃ :1.6	I ₈ :1.5
	I ₄ :1.9	I ₉ :1.7
	I ₅ :2.0	I ₁₀ :1.9
	Average Index:1.7	Average Index:1.6

The Lowest Average Index: 1.6
Performance Level: 1(*)

Remark:

* =

The Minimum Requirements For Each Level:

Level 1: 1.2 Level 2: 2.5 Level 3: 5.0 Level 4: 10.0 Level 5: 20.0

The Performance Level Is Defined As The Lowest Average Index Values Of Two Test Specimens

From The Different Gloves.







Tests Conducted:

7. Resistance To Cutting By Sharp Objects (BS EN 388:2016, 6.3 & EN ISO 13997:1999):

Sample (A)

Test Condition: Temperature (23 ± 2) °C; Relative Humidity $(50\pm5)\%$

Test Area: Glove Palm

Blade Sharpness Correction Factor: Normalized Cutting Stroke Length: -

Result:

Cutting Force (*):

Performance Level (#):

#1

Remark: * = Calculated Force That Would Be Required To Be Applied To A Blade Of Standard Sharpness

To Just Cut Through A Material In A Blade Stroke Of Length 20 mm.

= Levels Of Performance For Materials Tested With EN ISO 13997

	Level A	Level B	Level C	Level D	Level E	Level F
6.3 TDM: Cut Resistance (N)	2	5	10	15	22	30

Note: #1 = In Blade Cut Resistance Test, Test Specimens Did Not Dulled The Blade To Specified

Degree. There Is No Need To Be Performed The EN ISO 13997:1999 Cut Resistance

Method.

8. Tear Resistance (BS EN 388: 2016, 6.4):

Sample (A)

Specimen 1: 85 N Specimen 2: 84 N Specimen 3: 90 N Specimen 4: 91 N Performance Level: 4(*)

Remark:

The Minimum Requirements For Each Level:

Level 1: 10 N Level 2: 25 N Level 3: 50 N Level 4: 75 N Level 5: -

* = The Classification Is Determined By Taking The Lowest Of The Four Values.







Tests Conducted:

9. Puncture Resistance (BS EN 388: 2016, 6.5):

Sample (A)

 Specimen 1:
 46 N

 Specimen 2:
 42 N

 Specimen 3:
 48 N

 Specimen 4:
 46 N

 Performance Level :
 1(*)

Remark:

Level 1: 20 N Level 2: 60 N Level 3: 100 N Level 4: 150 N Level 5: -

Remark: * = The Classification Is Determined By The Lowest Value Of The Four Test Specimens.







Tests Conducted:

10. Detection Of Amines Derived From Azocolourants and Azodyes:

With Reference To Test Method: Textile Method (EN 14362-1: 2012);

Amines Content Was Determined By Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) And High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

	Forbidden Amine	CAS No.	<u>Result (mg/kg)</u>									
							<u>Textile</u>					
			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
1.	4-Aminodiphenyl	92-67-1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2.	Benzidine	92-87-5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3.	4-Chloro-o-toluidine	95-69-2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4.	2-Naphthylamine	91-59-8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
5.	o-Aminoazotoluene	97-56-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
6.	2-Amino-4-nitrotoluene	99-55-8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
7.	p-Chloroaniline	106-47-8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
8.	2,4-Diaminoanisole	615-05-4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
9.	4,4'-Diaminodiphenylmethane	101-77-9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
10.	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	91-94-1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
11.	3,3'-Dimethoxybenzidine	119-90-4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
12.	3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine	119-93-7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
13.	3,3'-Dimethyl-	838-88-0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	4,4'diaminodiphenylmethane											
14.	p-Cresidine	120-71-8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
15.	4,4'-Methylene-bis(2-chloroaniline)	101-14-4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
16.	4,4'-Oxydianiline	101-80-4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
17.	4,4'-Thiodiani l ine	139-65-1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
18.	o-Toluidine	95-53-4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
19.	2,4-Toluylenediamine	95-80-7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
20.	2,4,5-Trimethylaniline	137-17-7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
21.	o-Anisidine	90-04-0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
22.	4-Aminoazobenzene	60-09-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Remark: ND = Not detected

Detection limit = 5 mg/kg

Limit = 30 mg/kg







Result

Pass

Tests Conducted:

Detection Of Amines Derived From Azocolourants and Azodyes(Cont'd)

Conclusion:

<u>Tested Components</u> (1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8)(9)

<u>Test Item/Standard</u>
Azocolourants Content Requirement In Annex XVII Item
43 Of The REACH Regulation (EC) NO. 1907/2006 &

43 Of The REACH Regulation (EC) NO. 1907/2006 & Amendment No. 552/2009 and 126/2013 (Formerly

Known As Directive 2002/61/EC)

This report is made solely on the basis of your instructions and/or information and materials supplied by you. It is not intended to be a recommendation for any particular course of action. Intertek does not accept a duty of care or any other responsibility to any person other than the Client in respect of this report and only accepts liability to the Client insofar as is expressly contained in the terms and conditions governing Intertek's provision of services to you. Intertek makes no warranties or representations either express or implied with respect to this report save as provided for in those terms and conditions. We have aimed to conduct the Review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or wilful misconduct.